1,4-Dithiafulvene-substituted ferrocenes and their conversion into extended tetrathiafulvalene electron donors: synthetic, electrochemical and X-ray structural studies



Adrian J. Moore, Martin R. Bryce,* Peter J. Skabara, Andrei S. Batsanov, Leonid M. Goldenberg and Judith A. K. Howard

Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, Durham, UK DH1 3LE

The synthesis of 1,4-dithiafulvene-substituted ferrocene derivatives 7, 11 and 12 by reaction of formyl-, diformyl- and diacetyl-ferrocene, respectively, with the carbanion generated from reagents 4a–c and the ylide prepared from reagent 5 is reported. Upon reaction with HCl–diethyl ether, compounds 7a–c undergo an oxidative dimerisation to yield extended tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) derivatives 8a–c. The solution electrochemistry of compounds 7, 8, 11, 12, 14 and 16 has been studied by cyclic voltammetry. The X-ray crystal structures of compounds 8b and 12a are presented.

Derivatives of 1,4-dithiafulvene 1¹ and ferrocene 2² are wellestablished as building blocks of prime importance in the synthesis of organic and organometallic materials which possess unusual solid-state properties. In this context, the key feature of both systems 1 and 2 is their ability to act as electron donors and thereby to form stable charge-transfer complexes and radical ion salts with a wide range of organic and inorganic acceptor species. For example, 1,4-dithiafulvene derivatives, including tetrathiafulvalenes 3,³ are components of crystalline molecular conductors,^{4,5} semiconducting Langmuir–Blodgett films,^{6,7} electroactive polymers⁸ including low bandgap materials,^{8c} potential organic ferromagnets,^{8b,9} cation sensors¹ and molecular switches.¹⁰ Ferrocene derivatives are components of molecular wires¹¹ and anion sensors,¹² and decamethylferrocene– tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) complex is famous as a ferromagnet.¹³



It was of interest, therefore, to explore the synthesis and properties of compounds comprising covalently-linked 1,4dithiafulvene **1** and ferrocene **2** moieties. The synthetic approach we have adopted stems from the work of Akiba *et al.* who established that benzo-1,4-dithiafulvenes could be obtained by reaction of benzo-1,3-dithiole Wittig reagents with aldehydes and ketones.¹⁴ More recently, we,^{5c,15} and others,^{5a,b,7b,8b,9} have made extensive use of analogous 1,3-dithiole reagents to synthesise new 1,4-dithiafulvenes. In this paper we describe: (i) the application of this methodology to the synthesis of ferrocene derivatives 7, 11 and 12;¹⁶ (ii) the facile conversion of compounds 7a-c into the extended TTF systems **8a–c**; (iii) electrochemical data for all the new compounds; and (iv) X-ray crystallographic studies on compounds **8b** and 12a.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Deprotonation of phosphonate esters 4a,¹⁵ 4b^{14,15} or 4c¹⁷ (butyllithium at -78 °C in dry tetrahydrofuran) followed by the addition of formylferrocene 6, 1,1'-diformylferrocene 9¹⁸ or 1,1'-diacetylferrocene 10 yielded products 7, 11 or 12, respectively, which were isolated, after purification, as air-stable crystalline solids (compound 12c is an oil) in 58–81% yields (Schemes 1 and 2). The analogous compounds 7d and 11d bearing methoxycarbonyl substituents were prepared from phosphonium salt 5¹⁹ and either compound 6 or 9, in the presence of triethylamine at 20 °C (69–88% yields). It is notable that reaction of compound 10 with phosphonium salt 5 under similar conditions lead only to the recovery of unchanged starting reagent 10. The structure of 12a was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see below).¹⁶

Formylation of compounds **7b** and **11b** using the methodology employed by Misaki *et al.*²⁰ for the Vilsmeyer formylation of 1,4-dithiafulvenes (*viz.* reaction with Me₂N⁺=CHCl Cl⁻, formed from the reaction of oxalyl chloride with *N*,*N*dimethylformamide, followed by alkaline hydrolysis) gave cleanly compounds **13** and **15** (86 and 71% yields, respectively) (Schemes 3 and 4). Subsequent reaction of compounds **13** and **15** in a Wittig–Horner-type reaction with phosphonate ester **4b** in basic medium afforded compounds **14** and **16** (78 and 66% yields, respectively).

During routine NMR analysis of compounds 7a-c it was found that the CDCl₃ solution darkened on standing and TLC and ¹H NMR spectral evidence showed that another compound was being formed in solution. In particular, the vinyl proton of 7a-c at *ca*. 6.1 ppm disappeared, and for 7b clear changes were seen in the methyl signals: the two singlets at 1.96 and 1.91 ppm were replaced by singlets at 2.02 and 1.94 ppm. The new products 8a-c were isolated by preparative TLC and were identified initially from mass spectrometric data (which were consistent with a dimer of 7 less two hydrogen atoms) and their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Subsequently, structure 8b was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see below). To demonstrate that this



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, 4, $Bu^{n}Li$, THF, $-78 \degree C$, 0.5 h; then 6, $-78 to 20 \degree C$, 16 h; ii, 5, THF, $Et_{3}N$, 20 $\degree C$, 16 h; iii, $HCl \cdot Et_{2}O$, $Et_{2}O$, 24 h (96 h for 7c)



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, 4, BuⁿLi, THF, -78 °C, 0.5 h; then either 9 or 10, -78 to 20 °C, 16 h; ii, 5, THF, Et₃N, 20 °C, 16 h

reaction was linked to the acidity of the solvent medium, we prepared 0.1 mmol solutions of compound 7b in: (i) commercial chloroform (Prolabo, technical grade, weakly acidic); (ii) anhydrous diethyl ether with addition of HCl (1 M solution in anhydrous diethyl ether, acidic); (iii) anhydrous diethyl ether (neutral); and (iv) chloroform, filtered through basic alumina followed by the addition of two drops of pyridine (weakly basic). In cases (iii) and (iv) we observed no formation of compound 8b on standing for 7 days (TLC evidence), whereas in cases (i) and (ii), the conversion of compounds 7a-c to 8a-c was essentially complete after 24 h (96 h for 7c). A preparative scale synthesis of compounds 8a-c was achieved by reaction of an anhydrous ethereal solution of compounds 7a-c with 1 M HCl (solution in anhydrous diethyl ether) at room temperature leading to the formation of a dark solution from which compounds 8a-c were readily isolated in 75-84% yields (Scheme 1). Compound 7d showed no indication of undergoing reaction to afford compound 8d under these conditions, even on standing for 8 weeks at room temperature. Unlike other reactions involving the interaction of 1,4-dithiafulvene derivatives with acid, which lead to isomerisation of the protonated derivative,²¹ in the present system we propose an oxidative role for the acid²² facilitated by the low oxidation potential of compounds 7a-c (see below). Semi-empirical ZYMDO1 calculations on compound 7b show that the ferrocenyl and dithiafulvenyl groups both have large atomic orbital coefficients in the HOMO



14

Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, ClC(O)C(O)Cl, DMF, 20 °C, 0.25 h, then **7b**, 16 h; ii, 2 M NaOH; iii, **4b**, Bu"Li, THF, -78 °C, 0.5 h, then **13**, -78 to 20 °C, 16 h



16

Scheme 4 *Reagents and conditions:* i, ClC(O)C(O)Cl, DMF, 20 °C, 0.25 h, then **11b**, 16 h; ii, 2 M NaOH; iii, **4b**, Bu"Li, THF, -78 °C, 0.5 h, then **15**, -78 to 20 °C, 16 h

indicative of a highly delocalised system involving significant interaction between the 1,4-dithiafulvene rings and ferrocene.²³

The intermolecular oxidative dimerisation of 1,4-dithiafulvenes to yield the dication of the corresponding extended TTF system has been reported previously for a few derivatives,²⁴ and a similar process is thought to occur in the electrochemical polymerisation of a tris(1,4-dithiafulvene) derivative.^{8b} Whilst the present work was in progress, Lorcy and co-workers, reported the isolation of neutral species **18** from monomer units **17**, by a two-step electrochemical synthesis (an oxidation followed by a reduction) and concluded that the reaction involved dimerisation of the cation radical of **17** (Scheme 5).²⁵ In our hands, such an electrochemical protocol undertaken



for compound 7b after either the first, or the second, oxidation potential, did afford compound 8b; however, yields were low (typically 15-25%) and many other uncharacterised byproducts were formed rendering isolation of the product a timeconsuming task. Furthermore, standard literature chemical oxidations [e.g. tris(4-bromophenyl)aminium hexachloroantimonate 24d,e and 2,3-dichloro-4,5-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone $2^{24a,f}$] lead to decomposition of the starting reagent. It is notable that in contrast to the derivatives studied by, for example, Schöberl et al.^{24d} and Lorcy and co-workers,²⁵ the oxidative dimerisation of ferrocene systems 7a-c was not observed during cyclic voltammetry: on repeated cycling of the voltammogram of compounds 7a-c there was no marked change in the trace (even at 20 mV s⁻¹ scan rates) and no evidence was obtained for the formation of compounds 8a-c (compounds 7a-c exhibit a two-step redox system, whereas compounds 8a-c have a distinctive four-step redox system, see below). These observations are consistent with a slow chemical reaction following the initial electrochemical oxidation. We suggest that this is due to the ferrocenyl substituent of compounds 7a-c increasing the stability of the derived cation radicals [two possibilities are $7^+(X)$ and $7^+(Y)$ (Scheme 6)] to the extent that they are significantly more stable than anticipated. It is known²⁶ that α -ferrocenylcarbonium cations 20 are unusually stable (many stable salts of these cations have been isolated) and it is plausible that on oxidation, cation radical 7^+ (X) predominates over cation radical 7^+ (Y) thereby precluding rapid dimerisation (Scheme 6). It is to be expected that 7^+ (X) will not react under the above conditions based on a wealth of TTF and 1,3-dithiole literature³ in which there is no evidence for intermolecular dimerisation of radicals or radical cations of type 7^+ (X) (ferrocenyl = any substituent). Analogous reactions of compounds 11a-c in the presence of HCl lead to a complex mixture in which neither inter- nor intra-molecular dimerisation products were detected. It is noteworthy that Cais and Eisenstadt²⁷ have demonstrated that under suitable conditions, reductive dimerisation of ferrocenylcarbonium cations 20 (prepared in situ from the respective alcohol 19) proceeding via a ferrocenylmethyl radical 21 is a general reaction leading to compounds of the type 22 (Scheme 7).

Electrochemical studies

The redox chemistry of compounds 7, 8, 11, 12, 14 and 16 has been studied by cyclic voltammetry and the data are collated in Table 1. Compounds 7 exhibit two reversible one-electron oxidations and compounds 8 exhibit four reversible one-electron oxidations (Figs. 1 and 2, respectively, for compounds 7b and 8b). Compounds 11 and 12 exhibit two reversible one-electron oxidations if the potential is limited to 1.0 V (1.2 V for 11d); oxidation to the trication occurs between 1.1 and 1.2 V (1.5 V for 11d) and is scan rate dependant and irreversible (even at



7⁺ •(Y)

Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: i, HCl·Et₂O, Et₂O, 24 h (96 h for 7c), 20 $^\circ\text{C}$



Scheme 7 Reagents and conditions: i, HBr, HOAc, 5 min; ii, Zn, 12 h

0 °C and in the presence of active Al_2O_3) and it appears that a chemical reaction occurs, with a large number of unassignable peaks appearing in the reductive cycle. Compound 14 exhibits three reversible one-electron oxidations (Fig. 3) and compound 16 shows three reversible one-electron oxidation waves and a broad oxidation wave corresponding to the transfer of two electrons. In all cases, the first oxidation is observed at low potential which is consistent with a highly delocalised π -electron system, as observed previously when π -conjugation of 1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene derivatives is extended.^{1,17,25} A non-conjugated 1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene is typically oxidised to the cation radical at between $E^{ox} + 0.9$ and + 1.4 V, depending on the substituents present.

X-Ray crystal structures of compounds 8b and 12a

The asymmetric unit of **8b** comprises a half of the title molecule (Fig. 4) situated on a crystallographic two-fold axis [pass-

Table 1 Cyclic voltammetric data for compounds 6, 8, 11, 12, 14 and 16^a

Compound	$E_{1^{2}}^{1}/V$	$E_{2^{2}}^{1}/V$	E_{3}^{1}/V	$E_{4^{2}}^{1}/V$
7a	0.33	0.78		
7b	0.20	0.63		
7c	0.42	0.85		
7d	0.52	1.22 ^b		
8a	0.23	0.40	0.64	0.87
8b	0.14	0.35	0.70	0.83
8c	0.28	0.43	0.90^{d}	
11a ^b	0.12	0.58	$\sim 1.10^{c}$	
11b ^b	0.06	0.51	$\sim 1.10^{c}$	
11c ^b	0.26	0.75	$\sim 1.10^{d}$	
11d ^{<i>b</i>}	0.48	1.15	~1.50 ^c	
12a ^b	0.10	0.56	~1.15 ^c	
12b ^b	0.06	0.51	~1.05 ^c	
12c ^b	0.30	0.79	~1.15 ^c	
14	0.12	0.28	0.76	
16	0.03	0.19	$0.31 - 0.37^{d}$	~1.05

^{*a*} Data were recorded using a platinum disc working electrode, platinum gauze counter electrode, *ca.* 10^{-4} M solution of compound, 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in dry dichloromethane under a nitrogen atmosphere at 20 °C, *versus* silver wire quasi-reference electrode (corrected *versus* ferrocene/ferrocene⁺ as $E^{\frac{1}{2}} = +0.36$ V *versus* Ag/AgCl) using iR compensation. All waves represent a reversible one-electron process except where indicated. ^{*b*} A reversible cyclic voltammogram is only observed if the potential is limited to 1.0 V (1.2 V for compound **11d**). ^c Irreversible wave. ^{*d*} Two-electron wave.



Fig. 1 Cyclic voltammogram of compound 7b

IμA



ing through the midpoint of the C(11)–C(11') bond] and a half of a deuterochloroform molecule, disordered around a two-fold axis. Compound **8b** adopts a staggered conformation around the C(11)–C(11') bond [C(12)C(11)C(11')C(12') torsion angle of 101.7°], as do similarly sterically overcrowded systems, *e.g.* compounds **18** ($\mathbf{R} = p$ -MeOC₆H₄)²⁵ and **23**.²⁸ Such a conform-







Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammogram of compound 14



Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **8b**, primed atoms are symmetrically dependent *via* two-fold axis. Bond distances (Å): Fe–C(1) 2.079(5), other Fe–C 2.036(8) to 2.056(6), Fe–Cp(plane) 1.656, C(1)–C(11) 1.481(6), C(11)–C(11') 1.50(1), C(11)–C(12) 1.341(7), C(12)–S(1) 1.755(5), C(12)–S(2) 1.768(4), S(1)–C(13) 1.757(5), S(2)–C(14) 1.754(6), C(13)–C(14) 1.333(8).

ation precludes effective π -conjugation between the C(11)= C(12) and C(11')=C(12') double bonds, as indicated by the length of the central (single) C–C bond of 1.50 Å, compared to that in planar 1,2-bis(1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethane systems (*ca.* 1.44 Å).²⁹ This effect has been observed in the dendralene molecule **24**,³⁰ which contains both twisted and conjugated chains, with central C–C bond lengths of 1.50(1) and 1.409(8) Å, respectively.

Molecule 12a (Fig. 5) also possesses a crystallographic twofold symmetry, with the iron atom on the C_2 axis. In both structures, the exocyclic C=C bonds are inclined to the planes of the adjacent Cp-rings, by 16° in **8b** and 26° in **12a**. The dithiole rings adopt envelope conformations, folding along the S···S vectors by 5 (**8b**) and 11° (**12a**). The relative conformation of Cp-rings in the ferrocenyl moiety is nearly eclipsed in **8b** and twisted by 17.6° in **12a**. The mutual orientation of the dithiole moieties in **12a** is *anti*, their mean planes are non-parallel (the dihedral angle is 15°) and no intramolecular stacking interactions (or, indeed, short contact of any kind) exist between the dithiole rings.

Conclusions

A range of new vinylogous tetrathiafulvalene donors with appended ferrocenyl substituents have been synthesised by chemically-induced oxidative dimerisation of the corresponding 1,4-dithiafulvene derivatives. In contrast to previously



Fig. 5 Molecular structure of **12a**, primed atoms are symmetrically dependent *via* two-fold axis. Bond distances (Å): Fe–C(1) 2.073(5), Fe–C(2) 2.049(7), Fe–C(3) 2.042(8), Fe–C(4) 2.049(5), Fe–C(5) 2.046(4), Fe–Cp(plane) 1.653, C(1)–C(6) 1.463(6), C(6)–C(8) 1.356(7), C(8)–S(1) 1.760(6), C(8)–S(2) 1.770(4), S(1)–C(9) 1.744(7), S(2)–C(10) 1.723(10), C(9)–C(10) 1.307(12).

reported analogues, the oxidative dimerisation was not observed during cyclic voltammetry, which suggests that the mechanism of the dimerisation is strongly influenced by the ferrocenyl substituents stabilising an intermediate radical cation. These severely-distorted extended TTFs are easily oxidised to multi-cationic species.

Experimental

General methods

¹H NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC 250 spectrometer operating at 250.134 MHz. ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian VXR400S spectrometer operating at 100.581 MHz. *J* Values are given in Hz. Mass spectra were recorded on a VG7070E spectrometer operating at 70 eV. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1615 FTIR operated from a Grams Analyst 1600. Melting points were obtained on a Kofler hot-stage microscope apparatus and are uncorrected. All reagents were of commercial quality and solvents were dried, where necessary, using standard procedures. All column chromatography was performed using silica gel (70–230 mesh) and distilled solvents.

Cyclic voltammetric data were measured with iR compensation using an EG and G PARC 273 potentiostat and recorded on an Advanced Byrens XY recorder. The experiments were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere at 20 °C at a platinum disc electrode (1.6 mm diameter) with a platinum gauze counter electrode in 5 cm³ of a *ca.* 10^{-4} M solution of the compound containing 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Fluka, puriss, electrochemical grade) as the supporting electrolyte. The solvent, dichloromethane, was dried and freshly distilled prior to use. The potentials were measured *versus* silver wire quasi-reference electrode and corrected *versus* ferrocene/ ferrocene⁺ as $E_{-}^{1} = +0.36$ V by adding ferrocene to the studied solution after the experiment and referenced *versus* Ag/AgCl.

Phosphonate esters 4a,¹⁵ 4b^{14,15} and 4c¹⁷ and phosphonium salt 5¹⁹ were prepared by literature procedures.

General procedure for compounds 7a–c, 11a–c, 12a–c, 14 and 16. To a stirred solution of the Horner–Wittig reagent 4 (a, b or c) in dry THF (100 cm³) under argon at -78 °C was added *n*-

butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane) and the reaction allowed to stir at -78 °C for 0.5 h. The ferrocenyl aldehyde or ketone was then added and the reaction allowed to warm to 20 °C overnight. After evaporation of the solvent, water was added and the residue extracted with CH₂Cl₂; the organic phase was separated, washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂-hexane (1:1 v/v) as the eluting solvent afforded the desired products. The following compounds were thus obtained.

1-[(1,3-Dithiol-2-ylidene)methyl]ferrocene 7a. From compound **4a** (1.00 g, 4.75 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (3.25 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 5.20 mmol) and compound **6** (1.00 g, 4.67 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (1.15 g, 81%), mp 81–82 °C (Found: C, 55.7; H, 4.1; S, 21.0. $C_{14}H_{12}FeS_2$ requires C, 56.0; H, 4.0; S, 21.4%); $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 6.25 (2 H, s), 6.16 (1 H, s), 4.39 (2 H, m), 4.22 (2 H, m), 4.18 (5 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 131.56, 117.63, 117.16, 109.81, 83.44 (Cp × 1), 68.93 (Cp × 5), 68.02 (Cp × 2), 66.83 (Cp × 2); *m/z* (DCI) 301 (M⁺ + 1).

1-[(4,5-Dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)methyl]ferrocene 7b. From compound 4b (1.00 g, 4.17 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (2.87 cm³, 1.6 м in hexane, 4.59 mmol) and compound 6 (0.89 g, 4.16 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (1.07 g, 78%), mp 109–111 °C (Found: C, 58.7; H, 5.0; S, 19.8. C₁₆H₁₆FeS₂ requires C, 58.5; H, 4.9; S, 19.5%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 6.01 (1 H, s), 4.35 (2 H, m), 4.18 (2 H, m), 4.15 (5 H, s), 1.96 (3 H, s), 1.91 (3 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 129.26, 121.25, 120.53, 108.51, 83.65 (Cp × 1), 68.90 (Cp × 5), 67.90 (Cp × 2), 66.79 (Cp × 2), 13.70, 13.05; *m/z* (DCI) 329 (M⁺ + 1).

1-{[4,5-Bis(methylsulfanyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene]methyl}-

ferrocene 7c. From compound 4c (1.00 g, 3.29 mmol), *n*butyllithium (2.26 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 3.62 mmol) and compound 6 (0.70 g, 3.28 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (0.84 g, 65%), mp 56 °C (Found: C, 49.5; H, 4.4; S, 33.0. C₁₆H₁₆FeS₄ requires C, 49.0; H, 4.1; S, 32.7%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 6.10 (1 H, s), 4.34 (2 H, m), 4.22 (2 H, m), 4.16 (5 H, s), 2.44 (3 H, s), 2.42 (3 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 126.82, 126.57, 124.64, 112.34, 82.42 (Cp × 1), 69.01 (Cp × 5), 68.32 (Cp × 2), 67.15 (Cp × 2), 18.99, 18.83; *m/z* (DCl) 393 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis[(**1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)methyl]ferrocene 11a.** From compound **4a** (1.00 g, 4.75 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (3.25 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 4.67 mmol) and compound **9**¹⁸ (500 mg, 2.06 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (580 mg, 68%), mp 99–101 °C (Found: C, 52.3; H, 3.5; S, 31.3. C₁₈H₁₄FeS₄ requires C, 52.2; H, 3.4; S, 31.0%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 6.20 (4 H, s), 6.02 (2 H, s), 4.32 (4 H, m), 4.17 (4 H, m); *m*/*z* (DCI) 415 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis[(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)methyl]ferrocene **11b.** From compound **4b** (1.00 g, 4.17 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (2.87 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 4.59 mmol) and compound **9**¹⁸ (485 mg, 2.00 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (622 mg, 61%), mp 161–162 °C (Found: C, 56.4; H, 4.8; S, 27.2. C₂₂H₂₂FeS₄ requires C, 56.2; H, 4.7; S, 27.3%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 5.91 (2 H, s), 4.30 (4 H, m), 4.16 (4 H, m), 1.95 (6 H, s), 1.90 (6 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 129.14, 121.12, 120.36, 107.90, 84.53 (Cp), 68.64 (Cp × 4), 67.64 (Cp × 4), 13.36, 12.95 (all resonances correspond to two carbons except where indicated); *m*/*z* (DCI) 471 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis{[4,5-bis(methylsulfanyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene]-

methyl}ferrocene 11c. From compound **4c** (1.0 g, 3.29 mmol), *n*butyllithium (2.26 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 3.62 mmol) and compound **9**¹⁸ (350 mg, 1.45 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (640 mg, 74%), mp 41 °C (Found: C, 44.0; H, 4.0; S, 43.0. $C_{22}H_{22}FeS_8$ requires C, 44.1; H, 3.7; S, 42.8%); $\delta_{H}(CDCl_3)$ 5.93 (2 H, s), 4.30 (4 H, m), 4.19 (4 H, m), 2.43 (6 H, s), 2.42 (6 H, s); *m/z* (DCI) 599 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis[1-(1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethyl]ferrocene 12a. From compound 4a (1.00 g, 4.72 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (3.25 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 5.20 mmol) and compound 10 (590 mg, 2.19 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (675 mg, 70%), mp 109 °C (Found: C, 54.3; H, 4.1; S, 28.9. $C_{20}H_{18}FeS_4$ requires C,

54.3; H, 4.1; S, 29.0%); $\delta_{\rm H}({\rm CDCl_3})$ 6.27 (4 H, s), 4.43 (4 H, m), 4.22 (4 H, m), 2.01 (6 H, s); m/z (DCI) 443 (M^+ + 1).

1,1'-Bis[**1-(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethyl]ferrocene 12b.** From compound **4b** (1.00 g, 4.17 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (2.87 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 4.59 mmol) and compound **10** (540 mg, 2.00 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (707 mg, 71%), mp 160–161 °C (Found: C, 57.7; H, 5.4; S, 25.8. C₂₄H₂₆FeS₄ requires C, 57.8; H, 5.3; S, 25.7%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 4.33 (4 H, m), 4.21 (4 H, m), 1.99 (6 H, s), 1.94 (12 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 124.58, 121.88, 120.43, 113.60, 88.56 (Cp), 68.66 (Cp × 4), 67.31 (Cp × 4), 22.01, 13.51, 13.15 (all resonances correspond to two carbons except where indicated); *m/z* (DCI) 499 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis{1-[4,5-bis(methylsulfanyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene]-

ethyl}ferrocene 12c. From compound **4c** (1.00 g, 3.29 mmol), *n*butyllithium (2.26 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 3.62 mmol) and compound **10** (405 mg, 1.50 mmol) and isolated as an orange-red oil (545 mg, 58%) (Found: C, 45.5; H, 4.6; S, 41.1. $C_{24}H_{26}FeS_8$ requires C, 46.0; H, 4.2; S, 40.9%); $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 4.37 (4 H, m), 4.20 (4 H, m), 2.38 (12 H, s), 1.95 (6 H, s); *m/z* (DCI) 627 (M⁺ + 1).

1-[1,2-Bis(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethyl]ferrocene

14. From compound **4b** (300 mg, 1.25 mmol), *n*-butyllithium (0.87 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 1.4 mmol) and compound **13** (400 mg, 1.12 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (406 mg, 78%), mp 163–164 °C (Found: C, 56.0; H, 4.4; S, 27.5. $C_{22}H_{22}FeS_4$ requires C, 56.2; H, 4.7; S, 27.3%); $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 5.97 (1 H, s), 4.49 (2 H, m), 4.19 (2 H, m), 4.16 (5 H, s), 1.99 (3 H, s), 1.96 (3 H, s), 1.90 (3 H, s), 1.88 (3 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 136.57, 128.94, 122.27, 122.21, 122.06, 120.14, 116.80, 111.85, 84.67 (Cp × 1), 68.99 (Cp × 5), 67.78 (Cp × 2), 66.94 (Cp × 2), 13.77, 13.68, 13.37, 13.22; *mlz* (DCI) 471 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis[1,2-bis(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethyl]-

ferrocene 16. From compound **4b** (340 mg, 1.4 mmol), *n*butyllithium (0.94 cm³, 1.6 M in hexane, 1.5 mmol) and compound **15** (300 mg, 0.57 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (284 mg, 66%), mp 100–101 °C (Found: C, 53.9; H, 4.7; S, 34.2. C₃₄H₃₄FeS₈ requires C, 54.1; H, 4.5; S, 34.0%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 5.95 (2 H, s), 4.50 (4 H, m), 4.25 (4 H, m), 1.99 (6 H, s), 1.96 (6 H, s), 1.89 (6 H, s), 1.86 (6 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 136.18, 129.23, 128.30, 122.39, 122.80, 120.10, 116.30, 112.24, 85.20 (Cp), 69.16 (Cp × 4), 67.88 (Cp × 4), 13.73, 13.68, 13.36, 13.21 (all resonances correspond to two carbons except where indicated); *m*/*z* (DCI) 755 (M⁺ + 1).

1-{[4,5-Bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene]methyl}ferrocene 7d. To a stirred solution of compound 5 (1.00 g, 1.97 mmol) and compound 6 (0.42 g, 1.97 mmol) in dry THF (100 cm³) at 20 °C was added dropwise triethylamine (1 cm³, excess) and the reaction stirred for 16 h. After evaporation of the solvent, water (100 cm³) was added and the residue extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 cm³); the combined organic phase was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂ as the eluent afforded compound 7d as an orange solid (0.72 g, 88%), mp 94–96 °C (Found: C, 52.0; H, 4.0; S, 15.2. C₁₈H₁₆FeO₄S₂ requires C, 51.9; H, 3.9; S, 15.4%); v_{max}(KBr)/cm⁻¹ 1733, 1721 [C(=O)O]; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 6.09 (1 H, s), 4.34 (2 H, m), 4.24 (2 H, m), 4.18 (5 H, s), 3.86 (6 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}({\rm CDCl_3})$ 160.36, 160.00, 131.37, 129.61, 124.94, 113.22, 81.66 (Cp × 1), 69.05 (Cp × 5), 68.58 (Cp × 2), 67.22 (Cp × 2), 53.30, 53.19; m/z (DCI) 417 $(M^+ + 1).$

1,1'-Bis{[4,5-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene]-

methyl}ferrocene 11d. This was prepared analogously to compound **7d** from compound **5** (1.0 g, 1.97 mmol) and compound **9**¹⁸ (240 mg, 0.99 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (442 mg, 69%), mp 177–179 °C (Found: C, 48.5; H, 3.4; S, 20.0. C₂₆H₂₂FeO₈S₄ requires C, 48.3; H, 3.4; S, 19.8%); ν_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 1735, 1707 [C(=O)O]; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 5.92 (2 H, s), 4.32 (4 H, m), 4.23 (4 H, m), 3.84 (6 H, s), 3.83 (6 H, s); *m*/*z* (DCI) 647 (M⁺ + 1).

3448 *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 1, 1997

1-[2-Oxo-1-(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethyl]ferro-

cene 13. To anhydrous DMF (15 cm³, excess) under argon at 0 °C was added dropwise oxalyl chloride (0.2 cm³, 2.3 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 15 min. After this time, compound 7b (500 mg, 1.52 mmol) was added and the mixture warmed to 20 °C and stirred for a further 16 h. The reaction was quenched with aqueous sodium hydroxide (50 cm³, 1.0 M), precipitating a deep red solid; this was collected by filtration and washed with water (25 cm³). The solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (50 cm³), washed with water (25 cm³), the organic phase was separated, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Column chromatography of the residue using CH_2Cl_2 as eluent afforded compound 13 as a deep red solid (466 mg, 86%), mp 161-162 °C (Found: C, 57.3; H, 4.4; S, 18.0. C₁₇H₁₆FeOS₂ requires C, 57.3; H, 4.5; S, 18.0%); v_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 1618 [CH(=O)]; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 9.78 (1 H, s) 4.50 (2 H, m), 4.32 (2 H, m), 4.18 (5 H, s), 2.16 (3 H, s), 2.15 (3 H, s); m/z (DCI) 357 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1'-Bis[2-oxo-1-(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethyl]-

ferrocene 15. This was prepared analogously to compound **13** from oxalyl chloride (0.35 cm³, 4.0 mmol) and compound **11b** (500 mg, 1.06 mmol) and isolated as a deep red solid (397 mg, 71%), mp 215–216 °C (Found: C, 54.5; H, 4.2; S, 24.7. C₂₄H₂₂FeO₂S₄ requires C, 54.7; H, 4.2; S, 24.4%); $v_{\text{max}}(\text{KBr})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 1621, 1615 [CH(=O)]; $\delta_{\text{H}}(\text{CDCl}_3)$ 9.62 (2 H, s) 4.55 (4 H, m), 4.34 (4 H, m), 2.15 (12 H, s); *m/z* (DCI) 527 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1"-[1,2-Bis(1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethane-1,2-diyl]di(ferrocene) 8a. To a stirred solution of compound 7a (100 mg, 0.30 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (50 cm³) under argon at 20 °C was added anhydrous HCl (0.3 cm³, 0.30 mmol, of a 1 M solution in dry diethyl ether) and the reaction stirred for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue chromatographed eluting with hexane–dichloromethane (2:1 v/v) affording compound 8a as an orange solid (80 mg, 80%), mp > 250 °C (Found: C, 56.1; H, 3.8; S, 21.3. C₂₈H₂₂Fe₂S₄ requires C, 56.2; H, 3.7; S, 21.4%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 6.41 and 6.39 (4 H, 2AB, J 2), 4.48 (2 H, m), 4.38 (2 H, m), 4.23 (10 H, s), 4.17 (4 H, m); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 134.40, 122.38, 118.92, 118.66, 84.43 (Cp), 69.09 (Cp × 10), 67.65 (Cp), 67.40 (Cp), 67.37 (Cp), 65.11 (Cp) (all resonances correspond to two carbons except where indicated); *m*/*z* (DCI) 599 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1"-[1,2-Bis(4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)ethane-1,2-

diyl]di(ferrocene) 8b. This was prepared analogously to compound **8a** from compound **7b** (100 mg, 0.33 mmol) and isolated as an orange solid (84 mg, 84%), mp >250 °C (Found: C, 58.8; H, 4.7; S, 14.8. $C_{32}H_{30}Fe_2S_4$ requires C, 58.7; H, 4.6; S, 14.6%); $\delta_{\rm H}({\rm CDCl}_3)$ 4.47 (2 H, m), 4.38 (2 H, m), 4.23 (10 H, s), 4.14 (4 H, m), 2.02 (6 H, s), 1.94 (6 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}({\rm CDCl}_3)$ 131.77, 122.47, 122.34, 120.67, 84.98 (Cp), 69.12 (Cp × 10), 67.48 (Cp), 67.36 (Cp), 67.24 (Cp), 65.26 (Cp), 13.95, 13.26 (all resonances correspond to two carbons except where indicated); *m*/*z* (DCI) 655 (M⁺ + 1).

1,1"-[1,2-Bis(4,5-dimethylsulfanyl-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-

ethane-1,2-diyl]di(ferrocene) 8c. This was prepared analogously to compound 8a from compound 7c (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) using a reaction time of 96 h and isolated as an orange solid (75 mg, 75%), mp 67 °C (Found: C, 49.2; H, 4.0; S, 33.0. $C_{32}H_{30}Fe_2S_8$ requires C, 49.1; H, 3.9; S, 32.8%); $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 4.45 (2 H, m), 4.28 (2 H, m), 4.24 (10 H, s), 4.16 (4 H, m), 2.52 (6 H, s), 2.45 (6 H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 129.9, 128.6, 124.8, 122.8, 83.4 (Cp), 69.2 (Cp × 10), 68.0 (Cp), 67.9 (Cp), 67.6 (Cp), 65.1 (Cp), 19.1, 18.8 (all resonances correspond to two carbons except where indicated); *m*/*z* (DCI) 783 (M⁺ + 1).

X-Ray crystallography

The single crystal X-ray diffraction experiment for **8b** was carried out at room temperature on a Huber *Fddd* 4-circle diffractometer³¹ (Siemens rotating-anode target, graphite-monochromated Mo-Ka radiation, $\bar{\lambda} = 0.71073$ Å). *Crystal data:* C₃₂H₃₀Fe₂S₄·CDCl₃, M = 774.9, monoclinic, a =

21.977(1), b = 8.6364(3), c = 18.465(1) Å, $\beta = 109.459(1)^{\circ}$, $U = 3304.3(1) \text{ Å}^3$ (from 24 reflections with $12 < \theta < 15^\circ$), space group C2/c (No. 15), Z = 4, $D_c = 1.56$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 14.0$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1584, crystal size $0.1 \times 0.15 \times 0.2$ mm, $\theta/2\theta$ scan mode, $2\theta \le 60^\circ$, 5216 reflections, of which 3862 unique $(R_{int} = 0.048)$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares [non-H atoms anisotropic, methyl groups as rigid bodies, other H atoms 'riding'; 243 variables; weighting scheme $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0001F^2$] against F of 2368 data with $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$, converging at R = 0.059, wR = 0.060, goodness-of-fit = 1.29; residual electron density $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.45$, $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.44$ e Å⁻³. The CDCl₃ molecule of crystallization is disordered. Its carbon atom occupies two positions, related via a two-fold axis. The chlorine atoms appear in a difference Fourier map as a nearly-uniform planar toroid of electron density, rationalised in the refinement as two (for each carbon position) molecular orientations, A and B, differing by a ca. 30° rotation around the molecular three-fold axis. With the symmetrical equivalents, this gives a total of 12 (almost coplanar) chlorine positions with occupancies of 25% each. SHELXTL-Plus software³² was used. Atomic coordinates, bond distances and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, Issue 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 207/153.

The structural study of **12a** has been described elsewhere;^{16a} the structure has been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, reference code LADREN.

Acknowledgements

We thank EPSRC for funding this work, Zeneca FCMO for financial support (to P. J. S.) and Dr D. Lorcy and Dr R. Carlier (Université de Rennes) for helpful discussions of the electrochemical data.

References

- 1 T. K. Hansen and J. Becher, Adv. Mater., 1993, 5, 288.
- 2 Ferrocene, ed. A. Togni and T. Hayashi, VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1995.
- 3 Reviews: (a) A. Krief, *Tetrahedron*, 1986, **42**, 1209; (b) G. Schukat and E. Fanghänel, *Sulfur Rep.*, 1993, **14**, 245.
- 4 Reviews: (a) M. R. Bryce, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1991, 20, 355; (b) A. E. Underhill, J. Mater. Chem., 1992, 2, 1.
- 5 (a) Y. Misaki, T. Ohta, N. Higuchi, H. Fujiwara, T. Yamabe, T. Mori, H. Mori and S. Tanaka, J. Mater. Chem., 1995, 5, 1571; (b) Y. Yamashita, K. Ono, S. Tanaka, K. Imaeda and H. Inokuchi, Adv. Mater., 1994, 6, 295; (c) M. R. Bryce, A. J. Moore, M. Hasan, G. J. Ashwell, A. T. Frazer, W. Clegg, M. B. Hursthouse and A. I. Karaulov, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 1450.
- 6 Review: M. R. Bryce and M. C. Petty, *Nature (London)*, 1995, 374, 771.
- 7 (a) L. M. Goldenberg, R. Andreu, M. Savirón, A. J. Moore, J. Garín, M. R. Bryce and M. C. Petty, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1995, 5, 1593; (b) V. Morisson, C. Mingotaud, B. Agricole, M. Sallé, A. Gorgues, C. Garrigou-Lagrange and P. Delhaès, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1995, 5, 1617.
- 8 (a) S. Frenzel, S. Arndt, R. M. Gregorious and K. Müllen, J. Mater. Chem., 1995, 5, 1529; (b) M. Fourmigué, I. Johannsen, K. Boubekeur, C. Nelson and P. Batail, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 3752; (c) H. Brisset, C. Thobiegautier, M. Jubault, A. Gorgues and J. Roncali, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1765.
- 9 (a) T. Sugimoto, Y. Misaki, T. Kajita, Z. Yoshida, Y. Kai and N. Kasai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, **109**, 4106; (b) R. R. Schumaker, S. Rajeswari, M. V. Joshi, M. P. Cava, M. A. Takassi and R. M. Metzger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, **111**, 308.
- 10 M. Jorgensen, K. Lerstrup, P. Frederiksen, T. Bjornholm, P. Sommerlarsen, K. Schaumberg, K. Branfeldt and K. Bechgaard, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 2785.

- 11 J.-M. Lehn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 1304.
- 12 P. D. Beer, C. A. P. Dickson, N. Fletcher, A. J. Goulden, A. Grieve, J. Hodacora and T. Wear, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 828.
- 13 J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 385.
- 14 K. Akiba, K. Ishikawa and N. Inamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 2674.
- 15 A. J. Moore and M. R. Bryce, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 157.
- 16 (a) Preliminary communication: A. J. Moore, P. J. Skabara, M. R. Bryce, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard and S. T. A. K. Daley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 417; (b) for a subsequent report on related 1,4-dithiafulvenyl-substituted ferrocenes see: A. Togni, M. Hobi, G. Rihs, G. Rist, A. Albinati, P. Zanello, D. Zech and H. Keller, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 1224.
- 17 A. J. Moore and M. R. Bryce, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 1373.
- 18 G. G. A. Balavoine, G. Doisneau and T. Fillebeen-Khan, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 412, 381.
- 19 N. C. Gonella and M. P. Cava, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 930.
- 20 Y. Misaki, Y. Matsumura, T. Sugimoto and Z.-I. Yoshida, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 5289.
- 21 For examples of acid mediated intramolecular cyclisations see: (a) A. S. Benhamed-Gasmi, P. Frère, A. Belyasmine, A. M. K. Malik, M. B. Hursthouse, A. J. Moore, M. R. Bryce, M. Jubault and A. Gorgues, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, 34, 2131; (b) P. Frère, A. Gorgues, M. Jubault, A. Riou, Y. Gouriou and J. Roncali, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 1991; (c) P. Leriche, A. Gorgues, M. Jubault, J. Becher, J. Orduna and J. Garín, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, 36, 1275. For an example of acid mediated *cis-trans* isomerisation of substituted tetrathiafulvalene derivatives see: A. Souizi, A. Robert, P. Batail and L. Ouahab, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, 52, 1610.
- 22 It is well known that TTF derivatives are oxidised to the radical cation in acid media. See for example: S. Hünig, G. Kiesslich, H. Quast and D. Scheutzow, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1973, 310.
- 23 V. Khodorkovsky (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev), personal communication. Calculations performed using Hyperchem 5.0.
- 24 (a) W. Kirmse and L. Horner, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1958, 614, 4;
 (b) K. Mayer and H. Kröber, J. Prakt. Chem., 1974, 316, 907;
 (c) M. P. Cava and M. V. Lakshmikantham, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1980, 17, S39; (d) U. Schöberl, J. Salbeck and J. Daub, Adv. Mater., 1992, 4, 41; (e) A. Ohta and Y. Yamashita, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1761; (f) A. Benahmed-Gasmi, P. Frère, J. Roncali, E. Elandaloussi, J. Orduna, J. Garín, M. Jubault and A. Gorgues, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2983; (g) A. Ohta and Y. Yamashita, Heterocycles, 1995, 40, 123; (h) A. Ohta and Y. Yamashita, Heterocycles, 1997, 44, 263.
- 25 (a) D. Lorcy, R. Carlier, A. Robert, A. Tallec, P. Lemaguerès and L. Ouahab, J. Org. Chem., 1995, **60**, 2443; (b) P. Hapiot, D. Lorcy, A. Tallec, R. Carlier and A. Robert, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, **100**, 14 823.
- 26 (a) M. Cais, Organomet. Chem. Rev., 1966, 1, 435; (b) A. J. Deeming in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, ed. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982.
- 27 M. Cais and A. Eisenstadt, J. Org. Chem., 1965, 1147.
- 28 H. Hopf, M. Kreutzer and P. G. Jones, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 1127.
- 29 (a) A. J. Moore, M. R. Bryce, D. J. Ando and M. B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 320; (b) M. R. Bryce, M. A. Coffin and W. Clegg, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 1696.
- M. A. Coffin, M. R. Bryce, A. S. Batsanov and J. A. K. Howard, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 552.
 R. C. B. Copley, A. E. Goeta, C. W. Lehmann, J. C. Cole, D. S. Yufit
- 31 R. C. B. Copley, A. E. Goeta, C. W. Lehmann, J. C. Cole, D. S. Yufit and J. A. K. Howard, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1997, **30**, 413.
- 32 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-Plus, Structure Determination Software Programs, version 4.0, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1990.

Paper 7/02554H Received 14th April 1997 Accepted 24th July 1997